**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**APPELLATE JURISDICTION**
**WRIT PETITION NO.5250 OF 2006**

Arunkumar S. Patil ...Petitioner.
V/s.
The Education Officer, Z.P. Nashik & Anr....Respondents.

Mr.A.R.Shaikh with Mr.Rahul Motkari for the Petitioner.
Shri R.D.Rane, Govt. Pleader for Respondent No.1.
Shri N.R.Bubna for Respondent no.2.

**CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE &**
**J.H.BHATIA, JJ.**
**DATE : 20th December, 2006.**

**P.C.:**

1. Further to our order dated 27-11-2006, the Deputy Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad , Nashik has filed affidavit-in-reply. In addition, he has placed before us the inspection report dated 24-4-2006. The report is very clear and we have no doubt in our mind that the strength in VI and VIIth for the academic years 2004-05 and 2005-06 respectively was reduced causing the declaration of some teachers as surplus teachers including the petitioner. So long as the petitioner’s absorption in some other school has been done by the impugned order, we do not see any reason on the face of this inspection report to entertain this petition.

2. The petition is rejected summarily.

**(J.H.BHATIA,J.)** **(B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.)**